DOCUMENT RESUME

BD 089 434

BA 006 021

AUTHOR TITLE Stemnock, Suzanne K.

Exemptions from Final Examination Requirements for

High School Students. An ERS Information Aid. Educational Research Service, Washington, D.C.

INSTITUTION PUB DATE NOTE

Apr 74

AVAILABLE FROM

Educational Research Service, Inc., 1815 North Fort

Myer Drive, Arlington, Virginia 22209 (\$2.00)

EDRS PRICE DESCRIPTORS

MF-\$0.75 HC Not Available from EDRS. PLUS POSTAGE
Data Analysis; Educational Assessment; *Educational
Practice; Educational Research; *High School
Students; *School Policy; *School Surveys; Student

Evaluation: *Tests

ABSTRACT

There are many reasons why teachers evaluate students: to provide colleges and prospective employers with some measure of a student's skill level; to inform parents of their child's progress; and to provide both teacher and student with a guide to areas needing further attention in the teaching and learning process, to mention three. Just as there are many reasons for evaluating students, there are also many means of assessing student competency. One of these is the final examination. Educators do not agree on the value of the final examination, however. The purpose of this ERS Information Aid is to present an overview not only of the basic views regarding the use of final exams, but to describe alternatives to this means of assessment and to report on the extent to which school systems have adopted alternatives. Also included are sample policies relating to exemptions from final exams. (Author)

ES INFORMATION AID

Educational Research Service, Inc., 1815 North Fort Myer Drive, Arlington, Virginia 22209

APRIL 1974

EXEMPTIONS FROM FINAL EXAMINATION REQUIREMENTS FOR HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS

There are many reasons why teachers evaluate students: to provide colleges and prospective employers with some measure of a student's skill level; to inform parents of their child's progress; and to provide both teacher and student with a guide to areas needing further attention in the teaching and learning process, to mention three. Just as there are many reasons for evaluating students, there also are many means of assessing student competency. One of these is the final examination. 4

Educators do not agree on the value of the final examination, however. The purpose of this ERS Information Aid is to present an overview not only of the basic views regarding the use of final exams, but to describe alternatives to this means of assessment and to report on the extent to which school systems have adopted alternatives. Also included are sample policies relating to exemptions from final exams.

PROS AND CONS OF FINAL EXAMINATIONS

One proponent of the final examination states that it is important that students "synthesize concepts and thus gain an overview of the semester's work." $(4:49)^{\frac{b}{2}}$ Another expands on this position, as follows:

They may gain an increment—ranging from small to large—of proficiency in core skills during the pre—exam review. They may exchange ideas, sound out theories, and hear their peers expound on phases of a subject that they may otherwise have excluded from consideration. They become confronted with the need to assign priorities. And, most fortunately, some students experience that exhilarating satisfaction of being able to comprehend the whole after months of visualizing and conceptualizing "parts." (1:36)

An opponent of final exams contends, however, that:

- 1. Finals waste precious time--instructors' and their students'--all of which could be better put to learning, to creating, to doing.
- 2. Finals produce tensions, irritations and bad feelings at the end of a semester, precisely at a time which should conclude with a warm, good feeling of unity and growth.
- 3. Unfortunately in too many cases, students rarely see their final examinations. Therefore, they do not see their errors or learn from their mistakes. This may also lead to the possibility of unfairness in grading with little or no recourse for the student. "You wrote a poor final, so your grade went down to C." Fait accompli.
- 4. Finals help make a teacher lazy. The teacher who relies on a final examination (or the school that may "require" one) for measuring a student's performance is simply grabbing for a grade. He can relax smugly and lecture in security assured, "I'll

a/ "Final examination," as used in this report, refers to tests administered to students at the course, covering the subject matter included in the course. They are distinguished from proficiency examinations in broad subject areas, such as the New York State Regents' Examinations.

Deferences cited in the body of text are noted by numbers within parentheses. The number before the colon indicates the entry number within the list of references on page 4; the number collowing the colon indicates the page within the entry. If no colon appears, the citation restores to the entire entry number. Multiple citations are separated by commas.



find out who's been paying attention at finals." He is relieved of teaching, of exploring, of probing to find his students' weaknesses so he can offer help.

 Finals emphasize grades not growth, cramming not studying, divisive tactics, not cooperation. (2:25)

ALTERNATIVES TO FINAL EXAMINATIONS

Although he does not advocate the elimination of final examinations, Harl R. Douglass states that "there is fairly universal agreement that not too much importance should be attached to final examinations and that greatly increased attention should be given by most teachers and in most schools to evaluation types throughout the semester or year." (3)

Nevertheless, Gertrude Clark, a teacher at Chatham High School (New Jersey), favors the retention of final examinations with the following modification: extend the final exam period to 34 weeks-the first week of which is devoted to "gleaning the major issues, areas, concepts, etc., from the minor ones" (through team discussions and comparisons of their results with the teacher's) and the second week of which is set aside for exam preparation (during which students would work on preparing questions that might comprise the final). In fact, there might be preliminary agreement that a certain percentage of the exam questions would be drawn from those suggested by students. During the last half of the second week the teacher would prepare the examination. The students would take the examination during the third week. The last half-week would be reserved for discussion of the examinations. (1)

As another approach, Mollenkott proposes the "open examination." (4) The exam would be composed of essay-type questions that require pupils to organize facts to support each one's thesis on each question. In other words, there would be no "canned" answers. The exams would be administered in this way: all students would receive copies of the exam and would be directed to scan it. then chooses whether to take an open-book or closed-book exam. Those taking the open-book exam are seated at the back of the room. They are expected to provide more detail in their answers and will be graded more strictly than those taking the closed-book exam. Three other options exist. All students are allowed to pick among several quastions--doing three of five, or five of seven, for instance. If a student who begins the closed-book exam decides he would do better on an open-book basis, he may move to the back of the room and use books and notes, but the entire exam will be graded as an open-book exam. A student opting for the closed-book exam may substitute a question of his own for any or all of the teacher-made options, but he will also be graded on the quality of his question. All students are encouraged to prepare for this option, but it is available only to those who choose the closed-book exam to keep students from bringing prepared answers into the room and merely copying them out. One problem with this proposal, Mollenkott concedes, is that it is more applicable to certain subjects than to others.

Other alternatives are suggested by Denholtz: giving take-home exams or projects in lieu of final examinations; exempting "A" and "B" students from taking finals; and doing away with finals entirely. (2:25)

EXTENT OF POLICY MODIFICATION IN LOCAL SCHOOL SYSTEMS

To obtain data on the extent to which schools and school systems have adopted alternatives to final examinations, Educational Research Service included the following question in its 1973 "Selected School Practices" survey:



Are final examinations required as a matter of written or unwritten policy in <u>all</u> of your high schools for <u>all</u> students? If NO, what exemptions apply (e.g., graduating seniors exempted; at discretion of individual teacher; at discretion of principal; if certain grade average is maintained; in certain subjects only)?

The questionnaire, sent to the 585 school systems enrolling 12,000 or more pupils and to a selected group of 201 smaller systems, yielded 429 (73.3 percent) usable replies to the question. The following tabulation shows the number and percent of systems in which some students are eligible for exemptions from final examinations and the number and percent of systems that require all students to take finals:

	Number and percent	of responding systems
	Some students	All students
	exempted from	required to
Enrollment groups	final exams	take final exams
25,000 or more pupils	93 (69.9%)	40 (30.0%)
6,000-24,999 pupils	140 (57.4%)	104 (47.6%)
3,000-5,999 pupils	22 (68.8%)	10 (31.2%)
Less than 3,000 pupils	9 (45.0%)	11 (55.0%)
	264 (61.5%)	165 (38.5%)

The individual replies from the 264 school districts reporting student exemptions from final examinations are shown in the system-by-system listing beginning on page 5. A list of systems reporting no exceptions to their final examination policies begins on page 13.

As shown in the system-by-system table, the possible combinations of factors determining a student's exemption from a final examination are numerous. Teacher discretion was the most frequently reported factor, by 114 systems (43.2 percent); often teacher discretion was combined with principal's and/or departmental approval. In 52 reporting systems (19.7 percent) only seniors may be exempted from final examinations, and in another seven systems seniors are exempted on one basis and other students on another basis. Grade average is an important factor or the sole factor in 54 systems (20.5 percent). Respondents in 16 districts (6.1 percent) said that the subject of the course determines whether final examinations must be given; however, these 16 do not include systems reporting the exemption of special education students only. (Such systems are listed with those reporting no exemptions.)

In five systems a good attendance record can exempt a student from finals, and one system uses conduct (the accumulation of less than a specified number of demerits) as a determinant of exemptions.

The assignment of projects, take-home exams, or other "evaluative activities" in lieu of a final examination was reported by eight systems (in one system this applies only to seniors). Three systems reported that no final examinations are required of any students (Willingboro Township, N.J.; Cleveland Heights-University Heights School District, Ohio; and Cranford, N.J.). St. Bernard Parish, Louisiana, reported that its schools have "a continuous progress program; evaluation takes place when students complete course objectives."

The entry of "no details" for 43 districts (16.3 percent) in the system-by-system listing indicates that exceptions are allowed, but the respondents did not enumerate them.

Sample Policies Regarding Exemptions from Final Examinations

Reproduced on pages 15 and 16 are four sample policies outlining various kinds of exemptions from final examinations. These were submitted by school systems responding the the ERS survey.



REFERENCES

- 1. Clark, Gertrude. "Final Exams Revisited." NJEA Review, 46 (September 1972), pp. 36, 61.
- 2. Denholtz, Elaine. "Fie on Finals." NJEA Review, 45 (April 1972), pp. 24-25.
- 3. Douglass, Harl R. Modern Administration of Secondary Schools. Second edition. Boston, Mass.: Ginn and Co., 1963. p. 382.
- 4. Mollenkott, Virginia R. "The Open Examination." Today's Education, 51 (October 1972), pp 49-50.



EXEMPTIONS TO FINAL EXAMINATION REQUIREMENTS FOR SECONDARY SCHOOL PUPILS IN 264 REPORTING SCHOOL SYSTEMS

School system	Exemption	School system	Exemption
25,000 or more pupils (9	3 systems)	SACRAMENTO, CALIF.	Required in courses
BIRMINGHAM, ALA., city schools			required for college; others at discretion of individual depart- ments.
HUNTSVILLE, ALA., city schools	At discretion of prin- cipal and teacher	SAN BERNARDINO, CALIF.	No details
MOBILE COUNTY, ALA. (Mobile)	No details	SAN FRANCISCO, CALIF.	At discretion of prin- cipal and/or teacher
PHOENIX, ARIZ Union High School District	Graduating seniors ex-	SANTA ANA, CALIF.	Certain subjects
SCOTTSDALE, ARIZ.	Teachers may exempt pupils on basis of	TORRANCE, CALIF.	At discretion of prin- cipal
	achievement; princi- pals may exempt teach-	JEFFERSON COUNTY, COLO. (Lakewood)	At discretion of prin- cipal and teacher
	ers on basis of other satisfactory approaches	PUEBLO, COLO.	No details
TUCSON, ARIZ.	to evaluation. At discretion of teacher and principal	HARTFORD, CONN.	Seniors with "B+" or better average in the subject
ANAHEIM, CALIF Union High School District	At discretion of teacher	DADE COUNTY, FLA. (Miami)	Each school makes its own recommendations, subject to approval of
FRESNO, CALIF.	Most high schools do give final exams; how- ever, there is no dis- trict policy requiring this.	DUVAL COUNTY, FLA. (Jacksonville)	area superintendent.
LONG BEACH, CALIF.	At discretion of teacher	PINELLAS COUNTY, FLA. (Clearwater)	No details
LOS ANGELES, CALIF.	No details	VOLUSIA COUNTY, FLA. (DeLand)	At discretion of prin- cipal and staff
MT. DIABLO SCHOOL DIS- TRICT, CALIF. (Concord)	At discretion of teacher in some cases	DE KALB COUNTY, GA. (Decatur)	Final exams not required by policy; if
NORWALK-LA MIKADA SCHOOL DISTRICT, CALIF.			exams are given, no individual students are exempt.
(Norwalk)	teacher, subject area to subject area; prin- cipal is key; most re-	FULTON COUNTY, GA. (Atlanta)	No details
OAKLAND, CALIF.	quire final exams. At discretion of de-	RICHMOND COUNTY, GA. (Augusta)	Seniors exempt
	partment head, teach- er, and principal	CHICAGO, ILL.	At discretion of teacher
ORANGE, CALIF.	At discretion of prin- cipal	ROCKFORD, ILL.	At discretion of prin- cipal and teacher
RICHMOND, CALIF.	At discretion of prin-		

School system	Exemption	School system	Exemption
25,000 or more pupils (C		MINNEAPOLIS, MINN.	At discretion of teacher, with approval of
SHAWNEE MISSION, KANS.	At discretion of teacher; seniors "in good standing" may be excused.	ST. PAUL, MINN.	principal Graduating seniors
FAYETTE COUNTY, KY. (Lexington)	No details	LINCOLN, NEBR.	At discretion of teacher
LOUISVILLE, KY., city	At discretion of	OMAHA, NEBR.	No details
schools	school staff	WASHOE COUNTY, NEV. (Reno)	At discretion of prin- cipal and department
CALCASIEU PARISH, LA. (Lake Charles)	No details	,	staff
EAST BATON ROUGE PAR-	At discretion of prin-	ALBUQUERQUE, N. MEX.	No details
ISH, LA. (Baton Rouge)	cipal and teacher	GASTON COUNTY, N. C. (Gastonia)	Graduating seniors with 90% average in
JEFFERSON PARISH, LA. (Gretna)	Graduating seniors with specified grade		a course
	average	GREENSBORO, N. C., city schools	Grade average require- ment
NEW ORLEANS, LA.	No details	WAKE COUNTY, N. C.	Graduating seniors;
ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY, MD. (Annapolis)	Only teachers of col- lege-bound students	(Raleigh)	other students with "A" average
	are required to give final exams.	WINSTON-SALEM/FORSYTH COUNTY, N. C. (Winston-	Seniors
BALTIMORE COUNTY, MD. (Towson)	Determined by prin- cipal and staff of	Salem)	
	each school	CINCINNATI, OHIO	At discretion of jun- ior high principal to
HARFORD COUNTY, MD. (Bel Air)	At discretion of teacher		require exams; required of all students in senior high.
MCNTGOMERY COUNTY, MD. (Rockville)	Final evaluation act required; may be oral	DAYTON, OHIO	No details
	or written exam or report, or some other project.	PARMA, OHIO	At discretion of teacher or depart-
PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY, MD. (Upper Marlboro)	Graduating seniors exempted at dis-cretion of teacher.	YOUNGSTOWN, OHIO	ment At discretion of teacher
WORCESTER, MASS.	Graduating seniors	TULSA, OKLA.	At discretion of prin- cipal and staff
FLINT, MICH.	No details		마이트 (1985년 - 1985년 - 1 1987년 - 1987년
GRAND RAPIDS, MICH.	At discretion of principal and staff	PORTLAND, ORE. PHILADELPHIA, PA.	No details No details
LANSING, MICH	Graduating seniors	CHARLESTON COUNTY,	Seniors with 90 or
WARREN, MICH.	At discretion of prin-	S. C. (Charleston)	above average exempted
	cipal; grade averages	COLUMBIA, S. C.	At discretion of prin- cipal, and in some
RLOOMINGTON, MINN.	At discretion of teacher		cases, teachers

School system	Exemption	School system	Exemption
25,000 or more pupils (Co	ontinued)	RICHMOND, VA., city schools	No details
GREENVILLE COUNTY, S. C. (Greenville)	Exam policy determined by each school; most having exams allow	VIRGINIA BEACH, VA.	Seniors with overall average of "B"
HAMILTON COUNTY, TENN. (Chattanooga)	Policy exempting some may be set by each	EDMONDS SCHOOL DISTRICT, WASH. (Lynnwood)	At discretion of teacher
•	school.	HIGHLINE SCHOOL DIS- TRICT, WASH. (Seattle)	At discretion of teacher
KNOXVILLE, TENN., city schools	No details	SPOKANE, WASH.	No details
METROPOLITAN SCHOOL SYSTEM, NASHVILLE, TENN.	Senior with certain	TACOMA, WASH.	No details
AUSTIN, TEXAS	Graduating seniors who	KANAWHA COUNTY, W. VA. (Charleston)	No details
	have completed all as- signments, with semes- ter grade average of "B" or better, and no	MADISON, WIS.	At discretion of principal and teacher
	6-weeks grade below "B-".	MILWAUKEE, WIS.	May be exempted from some subjects on basis
EL PASO, TEXAS	Graduating seniors		of grade average but all pupils must take
HOUSTON, TEXAS	Graduating seniors with "B" or better average		some final exams each year.
LUBBOCK, TEXAS	All students with less than 10 demerits		
PASADENA, TEXAS	Graduating seniors if	6,000-24,999 pupils (140	systems)
•	certain grade average is maintained; others if certain attendance	TUSCALOOSA, ALA., city schools	"A" average students
	record is maintained	FORT SMITH, ARK.	Graduating seniors if certain grade average
SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH	No details		maintained
FAIRFAX COUNTY, VA. (Fairfax)	Any group or class, at discretion of teacher, with approval of principal, may be exempted.	LITTLE ROCK, ARK., city schools	Students absent or tardy 3 days or less from a class (senior high schools only)
HAMPTON, VA.	Seniors with "B" aver- ages	ABC SCHOOL DISTRICT, CALIF. (Artesia)	At discretion of teacher
HENRICO COUNTY, VA. (Highland Springs)	Graduating seniors	ALAMEDA, CALIF.	At discretion of high school department
NEWPORT NEWS, VA.	Second semester ex- emption in grades	ALHAMBRA, CALIF.	At discretion of each department in a school
	8-12 for students with "B" average	BERKELEY, CALIF.	Required in all regu-
NORFOLK, VA.	Final exams not re- quired in Junior high schools; required in senior high schools		lar high schools; at discretion of teacher in continuation high schools

School system	Exemption	School system	Exemption
6,000-24,999 pupils (Con	tinued)	NEWARK, DEL.	No details
BURBANK, CALIF.	No details	MANATEE COUNTY, FLA. (Bradenton)	Some exemptions for high grades
DOWNEY, CALIF.	Graduating seniors		•
MODESTO, CALIF.	At discretion of teacher	MARION COUNTY, FLA. (Ocala)	Students with all "A" and "B" grades
PALO ALTO, CALIF.	At discretion of each department in a school	PASCO COUNTY, FLA. (Dade City)	Graduating seniors ex- empt; others at dis- cretion of teacher, on class-wide basis
POMONA, CALIF.	At discretion of teacher	BOISE, IDAHO	No details
RIALTO, CALIF.	At discretion of teacher	GLENBARD TOWNSHIP HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT, ILL. (Glen Ellyn)	Graduating seniors, at discretion of teacher and dean of
ROWLAND SCHOOL DISTRICT, CALIF. (Rowland Heights)		• .	students
SAN LORENZO, CALIF.	At discretion of each school	MT. PROSPECT, ILL Township High School District	Each school sets own policies
SANTA ROSA, CALIF.	At discretion of teacher	EAST ALLEN COUNTY, IND. (New Haven)	Students with "B" or better grade average
SEQUOIA UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT, CALIF. (Redwood City)	At discretion of each department and teacher	GREATER CLARK COUNTY, IND. (Jeffersonville)	At discretion of teacher
-	At discretion of	HAMMOND, IND.	No details
VALLEJO, CALIF.	teacher	MONROE COUNTY, IND. (Bloomington)	At discretion of prin- cipal and department
VISALIA, CALIF.	In certain subjects		chairman
WEST COVINA, CALIF.	At discretion of teacher	MUNCIE, IND.	At discretion of teacher
WHITTIER, CALIFUnion High School District	At discretion of teacher	NEW ALBANY-FLOYD COUNTY SCHOOL CORPORA- TION, IND. (New Albany)	At discretion of teacher
LITTLETON, COLO.	At discretion of teacher	PERRY TOWNSHIP, IND.	At discretion of
POUDRE SCHOOL DISTRICT, COLO. (Ft. Collins)	At discretion of teacher in case of graduating senior	(Indianapolis)	each department (at present only math department gives June finals)
EAST HARTFORD, CONN.	Graduating seniors in last quarter	CEDAR RAPIDS, IOWA	At discretion of teacher
ENFIELD, CONN.	At discretion of prin- cipal	COUNCIL BLUFFS, IOWA	Some graduating sen- iors at principal's and teacher's dis-
NEW HAVEN, CONN.	At discretion of teacher		cretion
WEST HARTFORD, CONN.	If certain grade aver-	DAVENPORT, IOWA	At discretion of teacher and principal
SIC .	age is maintained, at discretion of teacher	DUBUQUE, 10WA	Vocational students

School system	Exemption	School system	Exemption
6,000-24,999 pupils (Con	tinued)	MIDLAND, MICH.	Graduating seniors, if passing grade is
SIOUX CITY, IOWA	Seniors; skill classes at discretion of teacher		assured; other sub- jects at discretion of principal
WATERLOO, IOWA	At discretion of prin- cipal and teacher	PORT HURON, MICH.	Students with cer- tain grade averages;
TOPEKA, KANS.	No details		varies by high school
ACADIA PARISH, LA. (Crowley)	At discretion of prin- cipal and staff	UTICA, MICH.	Graduating seniors; certain subjects for other students
OUACHITA PARISH, LA. (Monroe)	At discretion of teacher	WATERFORD TOWNSHIP, MICH. (Pontiac)	Graduating seniors, if passing grade is assured
ST BERNARD PARISH, LA. (Chalmette)	Students are on con- tinuous progress pro- gram; evaluation takes	EDINA, MINN.	At discretion of teacher
	place when student completes course ob- jectives.	MINNETONKA, MINN.	At discretion of teacher
PORTLAND, ME.	Graduating seniors	MOUNDS VIEW SCHOOL DISTRICT, MINN. (St. Paul)	•
CARROLL COUNTY, MD. (Westminster)	Students with "B" average	OSSEO, MINN.	At discretion of teacher
FREDERICK COUNTY, MD. (Frederick)	At discretion of teacher	ROSEVILLE, MINN.	At discretion of teacher
WASHINGTON COUNTY, MD. (Hagerstown)	At discretion of teacher	FERGUSON-FLORISSANT SCHOOL DISTRICT, MO.	No details
LAWRENCE, MASS.	Seniors with 85 or bet- ter average and recom-	(Ferguson)	
LEXINGTON, MASS.	mendation of teacher Projects can be sub-	HAZELWOOD, MO.	Graduating seniors with qualifying grades
	stituted for seniors in lieu of exams.	HICKMAN MILLS, MO.	At discretion of teacher
SOMERVILLE, MASS.	Graduating seniors	RAYTOWN, MO.	At discretion of
WELLESLEY, MASS.	In certain subjects		teacher
BIRMINGHAM, MICH.	At discretion of teacher	WEBSTER GROVES, MO.	No details
EAST DETROIT, MICH.	Exempted for good	MANCHESTER, N. H.	At discretion of prin- cipal and staff
GARDEN CITY, MICH.	Students with "B" or better average,	CAMDEN, N. J.	At discretion of staff
	at discretion of teacher	CRANFORD, N. J.	Final exams not required of any student
JACKSON, MICH.	Graduating seniors; some discretion by teacher and principal depending on subject	EDISON TOWNSHIP, N. J. (Edison)	No details

School system	Exemption	School system	Exemption
6,000-24,999 pupils (Con	tinued)	FAYETTEVILLE, N. C., city schools	Student with certain grade average in a
LIVINGSTON, N. J.	Senior exemptions; grade exemptions; de- partmental options	ROBESON COUNTY, N. C.	subject No details
MADISON TOWNSHIP, N. J. (Matawan)	Seniors	(Lumberton) ROWEN COUNTY, N. C.	Graduating seniors at
MIDDLETOWN TOWNSHIP, N. J. (Middletown)	Seniors with passing grades	(Salisbury)	discretion of princi- pal and teacher
RIDGEWOOD, N. J.	At discretion of teacher	BEREA, OHIO	At discretion of each school; currently all high schools require final exams.
SOUTH ORANGE-MAPLEWOOD SCHOOL DISTRICT, N. J. (South Orange)	At discretion of department head	CLEVELAND HEIGHTS- UNIVERSITY HEIGHTS SCHOOL DISTRICT, OHIO	No required final exams for any students
TRENTON, N. J.	Seniors with high enough grades	(Cleveland) UPPER ARLINGTON SCHOOL	Graduating seniors
WAYNE TOWNSHIP, N. J. (Wayne)	At discretion of teacher if certain grade average is	DISTRICT, OHIO (Columbus	
,	maintained	WARREN, OHIO	Exemption in certain courses dependent on content and length
VESTFIELD, N. J.	In certain academic subjects	WILLOUGHBY-EASTLAKE	At discretion of prin-
WILLINGBORO TOWNSHIP, N. J. (Willingboro)	No fina [†] examinations are given.	SCHOOL DISTRICT, OHIO (Willoughby)	cipal and staff
GALLUP-MC KINLEY COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT, N. MEX.		EUGENE, ORE.	At discretion of teacher
(Gallup)		SALEM, ORE.	No details
BALDWIN, N. Y.	No universal policy; in certain courses if certain grade average	ABINGTON, PA.	No details
	is maintained	BRISTOL TOWNSHIP, PA. (Bristol)	At discretion of teacher
GREAT NECK, N. Y.	At discretion of teacher	HAZLETON, PA.	In one senior high school, top 10 grad-
IASSAPEQUA, N. Y.	Graduating seniors with "B+" average	LOWER MERION SCHOOL DIS-	
MEPHAM CENTRAL HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT, N. Y.	At discretion of teacher, if certain	TRICT, PA. (Ardmore) MT. LEBANON SCHOOL DIS-	teacher Upon recommendation of
(Merrick)	grade average is maintained in certain subjects	TRICT, PA. (Pittsburgh)	principal and advisory staff and approval of director of instruc- tional services
SACHEM CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT, N. Y. (Holbrook)	At discretion of principal	NESHAMINY SCHOOL DIS- TRICT, PA. (Langhorne)	At discretion of teacher, with approval
SPRING VALLEY, N. Y.	Seniors taking regents course and having 85%	CRANSTON, R. 1.	of department chairman
<u>ERÎC</u>	average, with recom- mendation of teacher	VARIATION, A. I.	At discretion of prin- cipal, in cooperation with department heads

Exemption	School system	Exemption
ntinued)	LAKE WASHINGTON SCHOOL DISTRICT, WASH, (Kirk-	At discretion of teacher
In two of four areas, as follows: Area I, students in grades 9-12 who maintain certain grade averages	land) RENTON, WASH.	At discretion of principal, teacher, and department head
in certain subjects; Area IV, graduating seniors with "B" average	SHORELINE SCHOOL DISTRICT, WASH. (Seattle)	At discretion of principal or teacher, subject to certain requirements
At discretion of principal and teacher	YAKINA, WASH.	At discretion of teacher
Graduating seniors with "B+" average, at discretion of princi-	CABELL COUNTY, W. VA. (Huntington)	At discretion of teacher
If certain grade average is maintained	APPLETON, WIS.	Students with certain grade average in certain subjects
Graduating seniors at discretion of teacher	ELMBROOK SCHOOL DIS- TRICT, WIS. (Brookfield)	Graduating seniors
Students with high rate of attendance	JANESVILLE, WIS.	At discretion of teacher
Seniors completing all work for each of six-weeks period with an 85 or better average and no truancies	KENOSHA, WIS.	At discretion of principal At discretion of teacher, if certain grade average is maintained in certain
Graduating seniors with 85 average No details	WAUWATOSA, WIS.	subjects Graduating seniors
No details	2 000 5 000 number (22 numbers)	
Seniors with a "B" average in a subject	MOUNTAIN BROOK, ALA.	Graduating seniors in second semester courses
At discretion of teacher	GLENBROOK HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT, ILL. (Glen-	Final evaluation need not be written exam;
At discretion of prin- cipal and teacher, if certain grade average is maintained	Y.CW,	may be take-home exam, creative product, oral exam, or other, as appropriate.
At discretion of	HINSDALE, ILLTown- ship High School Dis-	"Culminating experi- ences" required; exams
teacher	trict	per se not required
	In two of four areas, as follows: Area I, students in grades 9-12 who maintain certain grade averages in certain subjects; Area IV, graduating seniors with "B" average At discretion of principal and teacher Graduating seniors with "B" average, at discretion of principal and teacher If certain grade average is maintained Graduating seniors at discretion of teacher Students with high rate of attendance Seniors completing all work for each of sixweeks period with an 85 or better average and no truancies Graduating seniors with 85 average No details No details No details Seniors with a "B" average in a subject At discretion of principal and teacher, if	In two of four areas, as follows: Area I, students in grades 9-12 who maintain certain grade average in certain subjects; Area IV, graduating seniors with "B" average At discretion of principal and teacher Graduating seniors with "B" average, at discretion of principal and teacher If certain grade average is maintained Graduating seniors at discretion of teacher Students with high rate of attendance Seniors completing all work for each of sixweeks period with an 85 or better average and no truancies Graduating seniors with 85 average No details No details LAKE WASHINGTON SCHOOL DISTRICT, WASH. (Kirk-land) RENTON, WASH. SHORELINE SCHOOL DISTRICT, WASH. (Seattle) YAKINA, WASH. CABELL COUNTY, W. VA. (Huntington) APPLETON, WIS. ELMBROOK SCHOOL DISTRICT, WIS. (Brookfield) JANESVILLE, WIS. KENOSHA, WIS. WAUKESHA, WIS. WAUKESHA, WIS. WAUWATOSA, WIS. MOUNTAIN BROOK, ALA. At discretion of teacher if certain grade average is maintained At discretion of principal and teacher, if certain grade average is maintained

School system	Exemption	School system	Exemption	
3,000-5,999 pupils (Co)	ntinued)	WESTLAKE, OHIO	In some vocational	
GLEN ROCK, N. J.	"Comprehensive final evaluations" in place of final exams may be reports, projects, etc.	RADNOR TOWNSHIP, PA. (Wayne)	subjects Seniors with honor grades	
NEW PROVIDENCE, N. J.	No details	SPRINGFIELD, PA.	With certain grade average	
RAMSEY, N. J.	Depending upon depart- ment, "A" or "B" might exempt student	WHITEPISH BAY, WIS.	Some form of evalua- tion required, but	
TENAFLY, N. J.	Exams at discretion of students in cer- tain courses		not limited to exam- inations	
AYETTEVILLE-MANLIUS	No details	Less than 3,000 pupils (Less than 3,000 pupils (9 systems)	
SCHOOL DISTRICT, N.Y. (Manlius)		GLEN RIDGE, N. J.	At discretion of teacher	
NEWLETT-WOODMERE	At discretion of teacher, final proj-	MOUNTAIN LAKES, N. J.	No details	
(Hewlett)	ect may replace exam	PALISADES PARK, N. J.	No details	
ERICHO, N. Y.	No details	VERONA, N. Y.	Exception may be	
CCKVILLE CENTRE, N.Y.	No details Senior students in		granted to seniors with grades not lower than "B" in a subject.	
	elective courses with averages over 85,	MANHASSET, N. Y.	In certain subjects	
	with recommendation of teacher	OYSTER BAY-EAST NORWICH SCHOOL DISTRICT, N. Y.	No details	
oslyn, n. y.	In certain subjects	(Oyster Bay)		
ANTAUGH, N. Y.	Seniors in senior electives with "B+" or better average and teacher and parent	BEACHWOOD SCHOOL DIS- TRICT, OHIO (Cleveland)	Teacher has discretion of allowing alternative to final exam.	
ESTBURY, N. Y.	approval In certain subjects,	MARIEMONT SCHOOL DIS- TRICT, OHIO (Cincinnati)	Seniors	
	at discretion of prin- cipal	WYOMING SCHOOL DISTRICT, OHIO (Cincinnati)	Seniors with 90% aver- age in a subject	
BAY VILLAGE, OHIO	At discretion of		y 7.7.7.7.7.3.3.3.	

165 SCHOOL SYSTEMS REQUIRING FINAL EXAMINATIONS FOR ALL STUDENTS IN ALL HIGH SCHOOLS

25,000 or more pupils (40 systems)

ANCHORAGE, ALASKA
COMPTON, CALIF.
FREMONT, CALIF.
HACIENDA-LA PUENTE SCHOOL DISTRICT, CALIF.
(La Puente)
PASADENA, CALIF.

RIVERSIDE, CALIF.
SAN JOSE, CALIF.
STOCKTON, CALIF.
BREVARD COUNTY, FLA. (Titusville)
BROWARD COUNTY, FLA. (Ft. Lauderdale)

ESCAMBIA COUNTY, FLA. (Pensacola)
HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY, FLA. (Tampa)
PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLA. (West Palm Beach)
BIBB COUNTY, GA. (Macon)
MUSCOGEE COUNTY, GA. (Columbus)

SOUTH BEND, IND.
DES MOINES, IOWA
WICHITA, KANS.
JEFFERSON COUNTY, KY. (Louisville)
CADDO PARISH, LA. (Shreveport)

RAPIDES PARISH, LA. (Alexandria) SPRINGFIELD, MASS. DETROIT, MICH. ST. LOUIS, MO. CLARK COUNTY, NEV. (Las Vegas)

NEWARK, N. J. BUFFALO, N. Y. SYRACUSE, N. Y. AKRON, OHIO CLEVELAND, OHIO

TOLEDO, OHIO
PITTSBURGH, PA.
PROVIDENCE, R. I.
GREENVILLE COUNTY, S. C. (Greenville)
CORPUS CHRISTI, TEXAS

DALLAS, TEXAS
SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS
GRANITE SCHOOL DISTRICT, UTAH (Salt Lake City)
SEATTLE, WASH.
RACINE, WIS.

6,000-24,999 pupils (104 systems)

ARCADIA, CALIF.
AZUSA, CALIF.
BALDWIN PARK, CALIF.
EL RANCHO SCHOOL DISTRICT, CALIF. (Pico Rivera)
FAIRPIELD-SUISUN SCHOOL DISTRICT, CALIF. (Pairfield)

FOLSOM-CORDOVA SCHOOL DISTRICT, CALIF.

(701som)

ERICTANA, CALIF.

FREMONT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT, CALIF.
(Sunnyvale)
GROSSMONT, CALIF.--Union High School District
KERN UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT, CALIF.
(Bakersfield)

LOMPOC, CALIF.
NAPA VALLEY SCHOOL DISTRICT, CALIF. (Yount-ville)
OXNARD, CALIF.--Union High School District
SAN MATEO, CALIF.--Union High School District
SANTA CLARA, CALIF.

SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO, CALIF.
VENTURA, CALIF.
EASTLAKE SCHOOL DISTRICT, COLO. (Denver)
FAIRFIELD, CONN.
GREENWICH, CONN.

STAMFORD, CONN.
WILMINGTON, DEL.
BAY COUNTY, FLA. (Panama City)
LAKE COUNTY, FLA. (Tavares)
GLYNN COUNTY, GA. (Brunswick)

DECATUR, ILL.
DOWNERS GROVE, ILL.
DUNDEE, ILL.
MAINE TOWNSHIP HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT, ILL.
(Park Ridge)
SPRINGFIELD, ILL.

BARTHOLOMEW SCHOOL CORPORATION, IND. (Columbus) ST. LANDRY PARISH, LA. (Opelousas) ST. MARY PARISH, LA. (Franklin) ALLEGANY COUNTY, MD. (Cumberland) CHARLES COUNTY, MD. (La Plata)

BROOKLINE, MASS, FALL RIVER, MASS, PITTSFIELD, MASS, QUINCY, MASS, FARMINGTON, MICH.

KALAMAZOO, MICH.
PONTIAC, MICH.
ROYAL OAK, MICH.
SOUTHFIELD, MICH.
TAYLOR, MICH.

DULUTH, MINN.
WAYZATA, MINN.
INDEPENDENCE, MO.
NORTH KANSAS CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT, MO. (Kansas City)
PARKWAY SCHOOL DISTRICT, MO. (Chesterfield)

PATTONVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT, MO. (Maryland Heights)
RITENOUR SCHOOL DISTRICT, MO. (Overland)
ST. JOSEPH, MO.
UNIVERSITY CITY, MO.
BILLINGS, MONT.

165 SCHOOL SYSTEMS REQUIRING FINAL EXAMINATIONS FOR ALL STUDENTS IN ALL HIGH SCHOOLS (Continued)

6,000-24,999 pupils (Continued)

FAIR LAWN, N. J.
HAMILTON TOWNSHIP, N. J. (Trenton)
WOODBRIDGE TOWNSHIP, N. J. (Woodbridge)
LAS CRUCES, N. MEX.
COMMACK, N. Y.

COPIAGUE, N. Y.
FARMINGDALE, N. Y.
KENMORE, N. Y.
MIDDLE COUNTRY SCHOOL DISTRICT, N. Y.
(Centereach)
NEWBURGH, N. Y.

NIAGARA FALLS, N. Y.
PORT WASHINGTON, N. Y.
ROME, N. Y.
SCHENECTADY, N. Y.
SMITHTOWN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT, N. Y.
(St. James)

WHITE PLAINS, N. Y.
DURHAM, N. C., city schools
NEW HANOVER COUNTY, N. C. (Wilmington)
ONSLOW COUNTY, N. C. (Jacksonville)
WAYNE COUNTY, N. C. (Wayne)

CANTON, OHIO
LORAIN, OHIO
SHAKER HEIGHTS, OHIO
SOUTH EUCLID-LYNDHURST SCHOOL DISTRICT, OHIO
(Cleveland)
SPRINGFIELD, OHIO

LAWTON, OKLA.
MIDWEST CITY-DEL CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT, OKLA.
(Midwest City)
BUTLER, PA.
ERIE, PA.
PENNSBURY SCHOOL DISTRICT, PA. (Fallsington)

READING, PA.
WARWICK, R. I.
DARLINGTON COUNTY, S. C. (Darlington)
FLORENCE, S. C.
RAPID CITY, S. DAK.

SIOUX FALLS, S. DAK.
CHATTANOOGA, TENN., city schools
SHELBY COUNTY, TENN. (Memphis)
ARLINGTON, TEXAS
ECTOR COUNTY, TEXAS (Odessa)

NORTH FOREST SCHOOL DISTRICT, TEXAS (Houston) SOUTH PARK SCHOOL DISTRICT, TEXAS (Beaumont) ARLINGTON, VA. ROANOKE, VA., city schools FEDERAL WAY, WASH.

MERCER COUNTY, W. VA. (Princeton) GREEN BAY, WIS. CASPER, WYO. CHEYENNE, WYO.

3,000-5,999 pupils (10 systems)

BEVERLY HILLS, CALIF. SAN MARINO, CALIF. MUNSTER, IND. BELMONT, MASS. ALLEN PARK, MICH.

KIRKWOOD, MO.
RUTHERFORD, N. J.
SUMMIT, N. J.
ORCHARD PARK, N. Y.
ROCKY RIVER, OHIO

Less than 3,000 pupils (11 systems)

HARPER WOODS, MICH.
GOLDEN VALLEY, MINN.
CLAYTON, MO.
CEDAR GROVE, N. J.
PARK RIDGE, N. J.

ARDSLEY, N. Y.
PLEASANTVILLE, N. Y.
PORT JEFFERSON, N. Y.
BEXLEY SCHOOL DISTRICT, OHIO (Columbus)
INDEPENDENCE, OHIO

SHOREWOOD, WIS.



(Continued)

SAMPLE POLICIES AND ADMINISTRATIVE REGULATIONS REGARDING EXEMPTIONS FROM FINAL EXAMINATIONS

ADMINISTRATIVE REGULATION: FINAL EVALUATION ACTIVITY

A final evaluation activity (e.g., a written examination, an oral examination, a term paper, an oral presentation, or any other pertinent activity) is required in all courses or mini-courses, at their conclusion. The teacher will involve students in determining the type of final evaluative activity. This activity must be based on the objectives of the course. The final decision rests with the teacher.

The grade for the final evaluative activity is part of the grade for that marking period.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE: SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL PRINCIPALS' PLAN FOR PLACING THE SEMESTER

TEST ON AN OPTIONAL BASIS

Students will have the option of being exempted from taking semester exams if they are not absent or tardy for more than three days during the semester and have an unmarred discipline record. The following criteria must be followed in order for a student to participate in the plan:

- 1. A student may not be absent or tardy from any one class for more than three times.
- If a student is away from school on approved school business, these absences will not be counted in the total of three permitted.
- If a student is absent from school due to illness, these days will be counted against the total permitted.
- 4. The three days apply to each individual class. This means that a student may be absent in English class for only two days and be eligible to participate in the plan for English but be absent for four days in mathematics class and not be eligible to participate in the plan.
- 5. The optional phase of the plan permits a student who is eligible to take the semester exam or he may choose not to take it. However, if he chooses to take the semester exam, the examination grade will be counted in figuring the final grade.
- 6. This plan, when initiated, will not be retroactive and the total number of absences and tardies will begin at that date.

ADMINISTRATIVE POLICY: SENIOR EXEMPTION

- Ex. 3 Seniors who are scheduled to graduate may be eligible for exemptions from final examinations at the end of the school year if they meet the following requirements:
 - 1. Must have completed all of their work by the end of each six weeks.
 - 2. Must have an average of 85 or better for the semester's work.
 - Must have no truancies.

BOARD POLICY: STUDENT AFFAIRS--EXAMINATIONS

Ex A Evaluation of students' progress is an important part of the teaching process. All students may or may not be required to take examinations in all subjects. Seniors in good standing may be excused from examinations at the end of the school year. The policy does not excuse a senior from mid-year examinations, even though he is completing his program. Examinations shall not be givin advance of the date scheduled. Students who are unable to take the examination as scheduled

may make an appointment with the teacher to take the examination at a later date--but not at an earlier date. At the end of a course, students who do not take scheduled examinations are to be given incomplete or "F" grades. At the end of the school year, each teacher is to leave with the building principal copies of examinations and instructions for those pupils who were unable to take the examinations. After students report to take examinations, the papers will be forwarded to the teacher for correction and final grading.

If a pupil moves to another community prior to the close of the school year and it is not possible or convenient for the pupil to take the examination at the school after the close of the school year, copies of the examination questions will be forwarded to a school official in the community to which the pupil has moved, with the request that the examinations be administered and returned. The local school will be responsible for grading the papers.

EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH SERVICE, INC., is a nonprofit corporation established and sponsored by the American Association of School Administrators, Council of Chief State School Officers, National Association of Elementary School Principals, National Association of Secondary School Principals, and National School Public Relations Association.

ERS subscriptions are available to local school systems, regional service agencies, state departments of education, state and local associations of school administrators, university departments of school administration, and related organizations interested in school administration and supervision. Subscription rates are available upon request.

This Information Aid is restricted by copyright from reproduction in whole or in part without specific written permission. ERS is solely responsible for the contents of this publication; no endorsement by any of ERS' sponsoring organizations is either inferred or implied. Correspondence may be addressed to Glen Robinson, Executive Vice President/Director of Research, or to Dale Gaddy, Assistant Director, EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH SERVICE, INC., 1815 North Fort Myer Drive, Arlington, Virginia 22209 (phone: 703/527-5331).

Prepared by Suzanne K. Stemnock, Educational Research Service, Inc.

PRICE: \$2.00 (\$1.00 FOR ERS SUBSCRIBERS)

